

# Statistical Machine Learning Introduction

Stephen Vardeman  
Analytics Iowa LLC  
ISU Statistics and IMSE

# Standard statistical notation

	Variables				
Cases	$x_{11}$	$x_{12}$	$\cdots$	$x_{1p}$	$y_1$
	$x_{21}$	$x_{22}$	$\cdots$	$x_{2p}$	$y_2$
	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
	$x_{N1}$	$x_{N2}$	$\cdots$	$x_{Np}$	$y_N$

$$\mathbf{X}_{N \times p} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}'_1 \\ \mathbf{x}'_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}'_N \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{Y}_{N \times 1} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \mathbf{T} = (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$$

# Objective and “classical statistics” vs “machine learning” perspectives

- Identification, description, and enabling the use of simple/low-dimensional/low-order structure in the data array
- Data are scarce vs data are plentiful
- Quantification of what is known about (probability) models used is central vs no real interest in this issue

# Types of statistical machine learning problems

- Supervised learning/prediction

$$\hat{y} = \hat{f}(\mathbf{x})$$

- continuous target  $y$  or  $y \in \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$  for classification/pattern recognition
- Unsupervised learning
  - detailing relationships between the entries in  $\mathbf{X}$  or commonalities among sets of cases

# What is really new here (particularly in prediction)?

- “Big” datasets allow the creation of complex/flexible prediction methods
- With large  $p$ , datasets are inevitably sparse and the possible complexity of predictors explodes ... this is “the curse of dimensionality”
- The consequent possibility of “overfit” requires that predictor complexity must be matched to the real information content in a training set
  - the effectiveness of a prediction methodology can only be reliably judged in terms of performance on a “holdout” sample (“training” and “testing” sets cannot be the same)

## Reduction of what is known to an $N \times (p+1)$ training set for prediction

- This is typically highly labor-intensive (often 80% of person hours in corporate projects?)
  - assembling case information from many sources
  - data cleaning
  - data formatting
- This governs/limits what can be done in prediction
- Technically speaking, all that follows treats the training and test cases (the “pairs”  $(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)$  ) as iid/random draws from a fixed universe

# Standardization of quantitative features

- In general, “interval level”/quantitative features are much more easily used in prediction than are ordinal or categorical ones
- These often naturally come with corresponding units
  - sometimes this is no logical problem
  - often, however, different units for different features creates logical problems
- A way to avoid inconsistencies is to standardize coordinates of  $\mathbf{x}$

$$x' \equiv \frac{x - \bar{x}}{s_x}$$